



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,179	12/20/2006	Simon Wilson	894A.0016.U1(US)	2125
29683	7590	10/13/2010		
HARRINGTON & SMITH 4 RESEARCH DRIVE, Suite 202 SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			EXAMINER SHEN, QUN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/13/2010	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/585,179	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WILSON, SIMON	
	<b>Examiner</b> QUN SHEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/15/10.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,8-11,13-23 and 27-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,6,8-11,13-23 and 27-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 August 2010 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The communication is a second Action Final on the merits (RCE). Claims 3-5, 7, 12, 23-26 are canceled. Claims 1, 6, 29-31 are amended. Claim 33-34 are new. Claims 1-2, 6, 8-11, 13-22, 27-34 are currently pending and have been considered below.

### ***Priority***

Applicant's foreign priority claim for the benefits of 0330100.9 filed on December 29, 2003 on the basis of 371 PCT /EP2004/014745 filed on December 23, 2004, is acknowledged. However, no certified copy of foreign filing has been received.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Newly added claim 34 recites "A system comprising: an electronic device configured to receive a user- replaceable cover; and a user-replaceable cover, wherein the electronic device comprises a first holding arrangement at a front portion of

Art Unit: 2617

the device configured to attach to a first portion of a user-replaceable cover and a second holding arrangement at a back portion of the device configured to attach to a third portion of a user-replaceable cover and the device configured to hold by said attachment the user-replaceable cover in a folded configuration; and

wherein the user-replaceable cover comprises:

a first portion configured for user attachment to and user detachment from the first holding arrangement of the electronic device;

a second portion, adjacent to the first portion, comprising one or more predetermined fold lines;

a third portion, adjacent to the second portion, configured for user attachment to and user detachment from the second holding arrangement of the electronic device,

wherein the user-replaceable cover is formed from a sheet of material dimensioned to at least partially cover the electronic device, wherein the sheet is configured to have a substantially flat configuration and a folded configuration and be bendable along the one or more predetermined fold lines into the folded configuration to cover the electronic device, the sheet being configured such that when folded along the, one or more predetermined fold lines the sheet wants to return to the flat configuration were the sheet not held in the folded configuration by attachment to the electronic device via the first and second holding arrangements of the electronic device and the first portion and the third portion of the user-replaceable cover. “

No sufficient supports have been found in the original specification regarding different folding arrangements associated with different portions of the cover.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in **Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966)**, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows: **(See MPEP Ch. 2141)**

Determining the scope and contents of the prior art;  
Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims in issue;  
Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art; and  
Evaluating evidence of secondary considerations for indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

**2. Claims 1-2, 6-11, 13-16, 20-23, and 31, 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,330,430 B1, Jensfelt (hereinafter Jensfelt), in view of US 5,839,058, Philips et al. (hereinafter Philips), and further in view of US 2003/0036362 A1, Buesseler et al. (hereinafter Buesseler).**

Referring claim 1, Jensfelt discloses a user-replaceable cover (Figs 1-3, the cover can be replaced by a user) comprising:

a first portion configured for user attachment to and user detachment from a front portion of an electronic device (Figs 1-4, showing that certain portion of the case would cover the front portion of a cell phone);

a second portion, adjacent to the first portion, comprising one or more predetermined fold lines (Figs 1-4, showing one or more predetermined fold lines);

a third portion, adjacent to the second portion, configured for user attachment to and user detachment from a back portion of the device (Figs 1-4, showing certain portion of the case would cover the back of the cell phone),

wherein the user-replaceable cover is formed from a sheet of material dimensioned to at least partially cover the electronic device (Figs 1-3, column 2, lines 24-33), wherein the sheet is configured to have a substantially flat configuration (Fig 4) and a folded configuration and be bendable (Figs 1-3, column 2, lines 24-33) and the sheet wants to return to the flat configuration were the sheet not held in the folded configuration by the electronic device (Figs 1-4). Jensfelt does not expressly indicate the bending is along the one or more predetermined fold lines into the folded configuration to cover the electronic device, the sheet being configured such that when folded along the one or more predetermined fold lines. However, Jensfelt suggests that the size of the cover can be adjusted according to the size of the mobile terminal (col 2, lines 18-41) and the folding lines can therefore be adjusted or pre-determined accordingly as well.

Art Unit: 2617

Nevertheless, Philips teaches a user-replaceable cover including at least first portion, second portion and third portion covering front and back option of the mobile phone with folding lines, where the bending is along the one or more predetermined fold lines into the folded configuration to cover the electronic device (Philips: Fig 1, col 5, lines 36-61, col 6, lines 6-41).

As to attachment to the electronic device via the first portion and the third portion of the cover as recited in the claim, although Jensfelt does not expressly indicate attaching the folded cover to the electronic device, and Philips teaches the preferred mode being attaching the cover case for more convenient detachment, note that both Jensfelt and Philips disclose using adhesive material for attachment (Jensfelt: col 3, lines 3-12; Philips: col 6, lines 29-41). Such adhesive material can attach the cover, case, or between the cover and the device to establish the cover for at least a portion of the electronic device. Such adhesive material being applied to which portion of the cover or device surfaces, in the cited references and the claimed invention uses the similar adhesive and resilient or flexible material in order to provide equivalent features and functions with different choice of design, i.e. covering at least a portion of the electronic device.

Nevertheless, Buesseler teaches a user-replaceable cover with one portion covers the front and another portion covers the back of the electronic device and bended along the folded line with both portions configured to be attached to front and back sides of the electronic device (Buesseler: Figs 2A-2B, pars 0005-0007)).

Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, consider Jensfelt and Philips, as well as Buesseler teachings as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Philips and as Buesseler's replaceable cover teachings described above to Jensfelt's teachings to provide a flexible, multipurpose cover for the protection of an electronic device such as a mobile terminal.

Referring claim 2, Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable\_cover according to claim 1, wherein the user-replaceable\_cover is configured to be user bendable onto an electronic device (Jensfelt: Figs 1-3, Philips: Fig 1, also see analysis of claim 1).

3-5. (Cancelled)

Referring claims 6, 8- 9, Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable cover according to claim 1, wherein the sheet of material is formed from a plastic or metal, and/or plastic (Jensfelt: column 3, line 51, plastic, Philips: col 5, lines 44-48, plastic or aluminum), and configured to allow it to be bendable back on itself (Jensfelt: Figs 1-3, Philips: Fig 1, see analysis of claim 1).

Referring claims 10-11, 13, and 15 Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable cover according to claim 1, wherein the sheet of material is dimensioned to bridge one or more lateral edges of an electronic device, to cover a front and/or rear surface of the electronic device (Jensfelt: Figs 1-3), and the sheet of material comprises a holding arrangement to facilitate the holding of the user- replaceable cover on the device in the



Art Unit: 2617

folded configuration, and is configurable to be foldable in half (at least see Jensfelt: Fig 1-4, column 2, lines 18-42, also Philips: Fig 1, folding in half to cover both front and back portion of the phone).

Referring claims 14 and 16, Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable cover according to claim 1, wherein the sheet of material is printed on the inner folded surface of the user-replaceable cover and to provide user readable indicia (Philips: Fig 1, col 6, line 68 – col 7, line 4).

Referring claim 22, Jensfelt as modified discloses a method of distributing a user-replaceable cover according to claim 1, the method comprising providing the user-replaceable cover in an unfolded configuration, and distributing the cover along with a printed publication (Philips: Fig 1).

Referring claim 20, Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable\_cover according to claim 1, does not expressly disclose configured to provide a reeled configuration for facilitating transport, storage, and/or packaging of the user-replaceable\_cover but suggest using the cover for storage while not using to enclose a mobile terminal (Jensfelt: col 4, lines 28-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to consider Jensfelt's suggestion and have a reeled configure for facilitating transport, storage, and/or packaging of the cover for the benefit of additional features.

Referring claim 21, Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable cover according to claim 1, dimensioned and configured to cover a mobile phone (Jensfelt: Fig 1, col 1, line 38-39).

Referring claim 31, claim 31 is a method claim that is encompassed and necessitated by device claim 1. It is therefore rejected with the same reason set forth in claim 1.

As to claim 33, Jensfelt discloses the method of claim 31 wherein the device is configured to have a plurality of different user-selectable functions and at least some of the plurality of user-replaceable sheets are adapted for the different user-selectable functions of the device (Buessler: pars, 0039-0040 for different devices with different functions, PDA, two way pager, etc.), the method further comprising:  
detaching the user-replaceable sheet from the device (Buessler: pars 0005-0007, the cover is replaceable, therefore, detachable); and  
attaching a second, different user-replaceable sheet to the device, wherein the second user-replaceable sheet is adapted for use with a currently selected function of the device ((Buessler: pars, 0039-0040 for different devices with different functions, PDA, two way pager, etc., different devices have different functions).

As to claim 34, Jensfelt as modified discloses a system comprising:  
an electronic device configured to receive a user-replaceable cover (see discussion in

Art Unit: 2617

claim 1); and

a user-replaceable cover (see discussion in claim 1),

wherein the electronic device comprises a first holding arrangement at a front portion of the device configured to attach to a first portion of a user-replaceable cover and a second holding arrangement at a back portion of the device configured to attach to a third portion of a user-replaceable cover and the device configured to hold by said attachment the user-replaceable cover in a folded configuration (Buessler: Figs 2A-2B, Fig 3, pars 0005-0007); and

wherein the user-replaceable cover comprises:

a first portion configured for user attachment to and user detachment from the first holding arrangement of the electronic device; a second portion, adjacent to the first portion, comprising one or more predetermined fold lines; a third portion, adjacent to the second portion, configured for user attachment to and user detachment from the second holding arrangement of the electronic device, wherein the user-replaceable cover is formed from a sheet of material dimensioned to at least partially cover the electronic device, wherein the sheet is configured to have a substantially flat configuration and a folded configuration and be bendable along the one or more predetermined fold lines into the folded configuration to cover the electronic device, the sheet being configured such that when folded along the, one or more predetermined fold lines the sheet wants to return to the flat configuration were the sheet not held in the folded configuration by attachment to the electronic device via the first and second holding arrangements of the

Art Unit: 2617

electronic device and the first portion and the third portion of the user-replaceable cover (see discussion in claim 1).

**3. Claims 17-19, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensfelt, in view of Philips, and further in view of US 2003/0036362 A1, Buessler et al. (hereinafter Buessler).**

Referring claims 17-19, Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable\_cover but does not expressly disclose wherein the sheet of material comprises one or more actuators to engage with a covered user interface of an electronic device, wherein the one or more actuators have sufficient flexibility to allow movement of the actuators in/out of the plane of the sheet and the one or more actuators are raised domes. Buessler teaches an interchangeable cover including “dumb buttons that enable corresponding buttons built into the mobile phone (e.g. membrane switches 61 shown in Fig 7A) to be actuated via a user pressing on the dumb buttons (Buessler: Fig 7A, pars [0006], [0029-0030]). Consider both Jensfelt as modified and Buessler’s teachings as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time of invention to combine both teachings described above by incorporating dumb buttons and actuation by user for more convenient and flexible mobile phone operations with an interchangeable cover.

Art Unit: 2617

Referring claim 27, Jensfelt as modified discloses a user-replaceable\_cover according to claim 9, wherein the sheet is configured to define a U- shape when in a folded configuration (Buessler: abstract, par 0005).

Referring claim 28, Jensfelt as modified discloses the user-replaceable cover\_according to claim 1, wherein the user-replaceable\_cover comprises a user-releasable\_adhesive to allow the user-replaceable\_cover to be releasably held to the device as a cover (Jensfelt: col 4, lines 1-14).

**4. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensfelt, in view of Philips, and further in view of Buessler and US 2003/0134613 A1, Latta et al. (hereinafter Latta).**

As to claim 29, Jensfelt as modified discloses the system according to claim 34, but does not expressly disclose the device is configured to engage with apertures in the sheet to hold the user-replaceable\_cover over the device in the folded configuration. Latta, however, suggests that the device may incorporate a plurality of apertures to allow the operational control of a cell phone unobstructed (by the cover) (Latta: Fig 3, par [0023]). Therefore, consider Jensfelt as modified and Latta's teachings as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Jensfelt as modified's cover by incorporating Latta's teachings on apertures on the phone cover to prevent the cover from obstructing control operations of the device.

Art Unit: 2617

**5. Claims 30 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensfelt, in view of Philips, and further in view of Buesseler and US 2002/0104769 A1, Kim et al. (hereinafter Kim).**

Referring claim 30 according to claim 34, Jensfelt as modified discloses the system according to claim 23, but does not expressly disclose wherein the device is configured to comprise grooves to hold the user-replaceable cover over the device in the folded configuration. Kim, however, teaches that sliding grooves may be provided to hold the cover (Kim: Fig 6, pars [0042], [0134]). Therefore, consider Jensfelt as modified and Kim's teachings as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Jensfelt as modified's cover by incorporating Kim's teachings on grooves for holding the cover over the device and sliding the cover through the grooves.

Referring 32, Jensfelt as modified discloses the device of claim 30, wherein the thicknesses of the first and third portions of the user-replaceable cover are configured to allow the cover to be held in the grooves of the device (Kim: par [0042], implying the thicknesses of the first and third portions of the user-replaceable cover are configured to allow the cover to be held in the grooves of the device).

***Response to Argument***

Art Unit: 2617

The applicant's arguments filed on July 15, 2010 are considered but moot in view of new ground of rejection.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUN SHEN whose telephone number is (571)270-7927. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9:30am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jinsong Hu can be reached on 571-272-3965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,179

Page 15

Art Unit: 2617

/QUN SHEN/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Jinsong Hu/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617